Accessible Technology Initiative (ATI) Steering Committee Meeting August 13, 2007, 1pm MLIB 338

ATTENDING TEAM MEMBERS AND GUESTS				
Bill Post (BP)	Phyllis Weddington (PW)			
Pattie Jenkins (PJ)	Bill Loker (BL)			
Jerry Ringel (JR)	Jaime Nelson (JN)			

DISCUSSION ITEMS						
1.						
1.	Bill brought the ATI budget to Cabinet at the end of Spring 2007. \$1 million dollars is supposed to be available for Academic Technologies for the 2008/09 year. Accessibility issues can be covered by this money, however since it is not guaranteed, we have to find other ways to fund the initiative. In the meantime it was suggested to tie ATI into the Web Content Management System redesign for the Web Accessibility priority.					
2.	508 Compliance Officer (Pattie Jenkins)					
	Pattie spoke with other campuses and the Chancellor's Office. A plan for the officer was included in the procurement template that was received on July 25, 2007. The compliance officer will assist campus departments with following the 508 laws as well as be the person responsible for processing Exemption Requests as they begin to come up.					
3.	Priority 3 Procurement Document (Pattie Jenkins)					
	Pattie reported that beginning September 1, 2007 all procurement over fifty thousand dollars is subject to 508 compliance. After one year, the maximum allowable non-508 compliant procurement goes to \$2,500 (except for PEC purchases); the following year, all purchases made by the university must be compliant. The purchase of new compliant office copiers is a pilot program happening this year.					
4.	Priority 1 Web Accessibility Status Report (Jerry Ringel)					
	Jerry reported that the next step for the Web Accessibility team is to identify campus web contributors and communicate to them about accessibility. Jerry also discussed how HiSoftware reporting is working and said that the inability to export and filter information from the program is a major breakdown with the software. Pat and Scott are talking with other campuses and are working on creating a manual process to suit Chico's needs.					
5.	Priority 2 Instructional Materials Status Report (Bill Loker)					
	Bill talked about a meeting between the CSU system and the major publishers of textbooks, of which there are 3-5 that control most of the academic market. Textbooks are the easiest of the instructional materials to deal with; materials posted on Vista and other e-resources are a larger issue. Bill also discussed the need for community outreach to the copy shops in town (Kinko's, Mr. Copy, et al.) to communicate the need for accessibility of course packs.					
6.	Next Steps					
	The budget needs further discussion. DSS is terribly under funded and will need assistance to accommodate the requirements of ATI. Eddie Vela, Chris Prator, and Kathy Fernandes are discussing holding Enact workshops.					

TO DO LIST SUMMARY						
#	Task	Responsible Party	Due Date	Status		
1.						

FUTURE MEETINGS		
Date: TBD	Time:	Place: MLIB 338